

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,535	08/30/2001	Graham Andrew Cairns	YAMAP0777US	9423
759	90 11/02/2004		EXAM	INER
Neil A. DuChe	ez ,		LAO, L	UN YI
RENNER OTT	O BOISSFILE & SKLAR	PILP		

RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP 1621 Euclid Avenue, 19th Floor Cleveland, OH 44115

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

ART UNIT

2673

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, i	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/943,535	GRAHAM ANDR	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lao Y Lun	2673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (* condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment whi 	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	•	- A- 1	
 b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate extends. The appropriate extends for a company the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)∐ will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17-40.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer			
10. Other:	, , ,		
		Lun-Yi Lao	
		nary Examiner	
		- J. Fan	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Nakagiri teaches data analysis means(1, 2, 5, o 101, 102) to operate in the display mode(frame rate different) corresponding to the color format(e.g. 5 or 6 bits) of the input data(see figures 1-10; column 6, lines 47-55 and column 7, lines 23-39)..